DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 446 182 UD 033 811

TITLE	Poverty Rate Hits Lowest Level since 1979 as Unemployment Reaches a 30-Year Low. News Release.
INSTITUTION	Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, Washington, DC.
PUB DATE	2000-09-26
NOTE	5p.
PUB TYPE	Reports - Descriptive (141)
EDRS PRICE	MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS	Census Figures; Children; *Employment Patterns; Income; *Low Income Groups; *Poverty; *Racial Differences; *Unemployment

ABSTRACT

This brief presents some U.S. Census figures on poverty and employment patterns for 1999. The percentage of U.S. citizens living in poverty declined to 11.8 percent in 1999, the lowest poverty rate since 1979, as poverty rates for people aged 65 and over, African Americans, Hispanic Americans, and people living in the South fell to all-time lows. The poverty rate for children dropped to 16.9 percent. Median household income rose to a new high in 1999. The reduction in poverty was concentrated in U.S. cities, with 81 percent of the decline in poverty occurring in urban areas. Some points of concern are still apparent. Census data show that while the number of poor children has fallen markedly, those who remain poor have, on average, grown poorer. Poverty rates remain high for certain groups of children and families. The striking reduction in poverty and the improvement in the median income in 1999 appear to be due primarily to the continued robust performance of the economy. The effects of low unemployment and rising wages on low-wage and minority workers highlight the significance of policies that maintain low unemployment rates as well as policies that help restore the value of the minimum wage. (SLD)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

ED 446 182

NEWS RELEASE

CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE
Suite 510
Washington, DC 20002

Tel: 202-408-1080
Fax: 202-408-1056

center@cbpp.org
www.cbpp.org

Robert Greenstein
Executive Director

Iris J. Lav
Deputy Director

Board of Directors

John R. Kramer, Chair
Tulane Law School

Henry J. Aaron
Brookings Institution

Douglas L. Becker
Sylvan Learning Systems, Inc

Barbara B. Blum
Columbia University

David de Ferranti
The World Bank

Marian Wright Edelman
Children's Defense Fund

James O. Gibson
DC Agenda

Beatrix Hamburg, M.D.
Cornell Medical College

Frank Mankiewicz
Hill and Knowlton

Richard P. Nathan
Nelson A Rockefeller Institute of Government

Marion Pines
Johns Hopkins University

Sol Price
Chairman, The Price Company (Retired)

Robert D. Reischauer
Urban Institute

Audrey Rowe
Lockheed Martin, IMS

Susan Sechler
Rockefeller Foundation

Juan Sepulveda, Jr.
The Common Experience/ San Antonio

William Julius Wilson
Harvard University

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
Tuesday, September 26, 2000

CONTACT: Robert Greenstein,
Wendell Primus, Jim Jaffe
(202) 408-1080

POVERTY RATE HITS LOWEST LEVEL SINCE 1979 AS UNEMPLOYMENT REACHES A 30-YEAR LOW

The percentage of Americans living in poverty declined to 11.8 percent in 1999, the lowest poverty rate since 1979, as poverty rates for people 65 and over, African-Americans, and people living in the South fell to all-time lows. Poverty rates for non-Hispanic whites and Hispanics fell to levels statistically equal to the previous all-time lows for these groups. The poverty rate for children dropped to 16.9 percent, its lowest level since 1979, although it remained above its record low.

The Census data also show that median household income reached a new high in 1999.

"This excellent news seems primarily to reflect the effects of the lowest unemployment rate since 1969, as well as rising wages," said Robert Greenstein, director of the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. "The Census report demonstrates the power of the beneficial effects of a strong economy and especially of a low unemployment rate."

The Census report shows that the reduction in poverty in 1999 was concentrated in the nation's cities, with 81 percent of the decline in poverty between 1998 and 1999 occurring in the cities, as distinguished from suburbs and non-metropolitan areas. The number of poor people nationally fell by 2.2 million, from 34.5 million to 32.3 million. Some 1.8 million of this 2.2 million drop occurred in the cities.

The picture the new data paint becomes still brighter in certain respects when a broader measure of poverty favored by many analysts is used. The broader measure includes non-cash benefits and the Earned Income Tax Credit and subtracts income and payroll taxes. Under the broader measure, the child poverty rate fell last year below its 1979 level. The year 1979 is the first year for which this more-comprehensive poverty measure is available.

Amidst this positive news, some areas of concern emerge from the Census report. The Census data show that while the number of poor children has fallen markedly in recent years, those who remain poor have, on average, grown poorer. The

— more —

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

UD033811

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

✓ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

P. de Reynier
Center on Budget + Policy Priorities

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

average poor child fell farther below the poverty line in 1998 and 1999 than in any year since 1979, the first year for which these data are available. This measure of the depth of poverty includes non-cash benefits and the EITC and subtracts income and payroll taxes. The deepening of poverty among children who remain poor appears to reflect large declines in recent years in the proportion of poor children receiving benefits such as food stamps.

In addition, poverty rates remain extremely high for certain groups of children and families. For example, one in every two children under age six who lives in a female-headed family — 50.3 percent of such children — was poor last year. International comparisons also indicate that the overall child poverty rate in the United States remains higher than the rate in many western European countries and Canada.

Income Disparities

The Census report appears to show that income disparities in the United States, which widened sharply between the mid-1970s and 1993, have remained unchanged since 1993. Unlike the data on poverty, however, the Census data on income disparities are less solid and need to be treated with caution. While the Census data on income are fairly complete for most households and provide useful data on these households, the Census figures miss a substantial portion of the income that the highest-income households receive.

The official Census income data do not include capital gains income, which has risen dramatically in recent years and is concentrated among those at or near the top of the income spectrum. Recent data from the Internal Revenue Service show that after adjusting for inflation, capital gains income grew more than two-and-one-half times between 1993 and 1998, rising from $163 billion in 1993 to $427 billion in 1998. The IRS data also show that in 1998, some 72 percent of capital gains income went to the 1.7 percent of tax filers with the highest incomes, those with adjusted gross incomes exceeding $200,000.

In addition, the Census data do not record earnings above $999,999. If an individual makes $5 million, the Census records the individual's earnings as $999,999. Other sources of income are similarly capped.[1] (This is done for confidentiality reasons.) While these limitations in the Census data do not significantly affect the data on median income or most other income measures in the Census statistics, they do have a large effect on the data for very high-income households and consequently on measures of income inequality.

Many experts have noted that the Census data are not very well suited to measuring the incomes of those at the top of the income scale. When incomes rise much faster for those at the top of the

---

[1] There also are other reasons that Census data are not the best source for information on the incomes of high-income households. While there are substantial penalties for failing to report all income on tax forms, there is no such penalty for failure to disclose all income to the Census Bureau. Many household surveys have difficulty obtaining the cooperation of high-income households. For further discussion of these issues, see Appendix A to *An Analysis of New IRS Income Data*, by Isaac Shapiro, Robert Greenstein, and Wendell Primus, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, September 2000.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

income scale than for other Americans, the Census data can show no change in income inequality when income disparities are indeed widening.

This is what appears to have happened in recent years. Recent IRS data that cover years through 1997 and are based on actual tax returns tell a different story than the Census data. The IRS data show that between 1995 and 1997, the average after-tax income of the one percent of tax filers with the highest incomes jumped 31 percent, or $121,000 (from $397,000 in 1995 to $518,000 in 1997). By contrast, the average after-tax income of the bottom 90 percent of tax filers — that is, everyone except those in the top 10 percent — rose just 3.4 percent.[2]

In percentage terms, the average income of the top one percent of tax filers thus rose nine times faster than the average income of the bottom 90 percent of filers. Moreover, the average *increase* of $121,000 in the after-tax income of the top one percent of filers between 1995 and 1997 is substantially larger than the *total* incomes of the vast majority of American households and three times the income of the median household, which was $40,816 last year.

The IRS data also show that over the somewhat-longer 1993-to-1997 period, the top one percent of tax filers secured after-tax income gains of 41 percent, on average, while the bottom 90 percent of filers experienced a modest gain of five percent.[3]

---

[2] The IRS data reflect adjusted gross income, as reported on income tax returns, as well as the federal income taxes paid on that income. The IRS data do not include forms of income that are not included in adjusted gross income, such as certain government cash benefits. They include data on income taxes but not payroll taxes or other taxes. We focus here on adjusted gross income after federal income taxes, which we refer to here as "after-tax income." All income figures are adjusted for inflation and expressed in 1997 dollars.

[3] While the standard Census data do not show a change in income inequality from 1993 to 1999, the alternative definitions of income the Census Bureau provides do suggest a rise in income inequality. These definitions are more comprehensive and include estimates of the effects of taxes, capital gains, and non-cash government benefits. These measures still miss some income for those at the top of the income spectrum; for example, these measures do not capture earnings above $999,999.

Although these alternative definitions still understate income gains at the top, they indicate that income inequality was greater in 1999 than in 1993. For example, under the standard definition of income the Census Bureau uses, the share of the national income that the top five percent of households receive rose only from 21 percent in 1993 to 21.5 percent in 1999, a change that is not statistically significant. But under the Census Bureau's alternative definition of income that includes capital gains income and the Earned Income Tax Credit and subtracts federal and state income taxes and payroll taxes, the share of the national income the top five percent of households receive climbed from 19.6 percent to 21.8 percent over this period, a significant amount. For additional information on income disparities, see *An Analysis of New IRS Income Data*, by Isaac Shapiro, Robert Greenstein, and Wendell Primus. This report is available from the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities' web site at www.cbpp.org/9-4-00inc-rep.htm, or by calling 202-408-1080.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Effects of the Strong Economy

The striking improvements in poverty and median income in 1999 appear to be due primarily to the continued robust performance of the economy. The unemployment rate of 4.2 percent was the lowest since 1969. In addition, between 1996 and 1999, the average hourly wages of the lowest-paid workers increased, after declining for much of the previous two decades.

Data from the Quarterly Wage and Employment Series produced by the Economic Policy Institute indicate that wage increases were particularly strong for very low-paid workers between 1996 and 1999.[4] Among male workers with hourly wages at the 10th percentile of all male workers, hourly wages increased 9.1 percent between 1996 and 1999, after adjusting for inflation. (Workers with wages at the 10th percentile have wages lower than 90 percent of all wage-earners and higher than the remaining 10 percent of wage-earners.) Female workers with hourly wages at the 10th percentile of all female workers saw a 9.7 percent increase in hourly wages during the same period. Wages for both male and female workers at these wage levels had fallen for most of the previous two decades, after adjusting for inflation.

The effects of very low unemployment and rising wages on the low-wage and minority workers highlight the significance of policies that maintain low unemployment rates as well as policies that help restore the value of the minimum wage. The minimum wage was increased in October 1996 and September 1997 but remains substantially below the levels of the 1970's in purchasing power.

The Center on Budget and Policy Priorities is a nonpartisan research organization and policy institute that conducts research and analysis on a range of government policies and programs. It is supported primarily by foundation grants.

####

---

[4] Jared Bernstein, "Annual Wage Growth Slows Despite Low Unemployment" Quarterly Wage and Employment Series, 1999:4.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE